HANNAH-PAMPLICO ELEMENTARY/MIDDLE 2131 S. Pamplico Highway Pamplico, SC 29583 PK-8 Elementary School GRADES 786 Students ENROLLMENT Angela P. Keith 843-493-2061 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Steve W. Quick 843-493-2502 Mrs. Elleveen T. Poston 843-493-2437 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 2 44 53 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: BELOW AVERAGE ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 20 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

| | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress | |
|------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 2001 | Average | Average | N/A | |
| 2002 | Average | Average | N/A | |
| 2003 | Average | Unsatisfactory | No | |
| 2004 | Average | Below Average | No | |

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

PERCENT OF STUDENT RECORDS MATCHED FOR PURPOSES OF COMPUTING IMPROVEMENT RATING


Percent of students tested in 2003-04 whose 2002-03 test scores were located.

82.1%

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT)

Our School

Elementary Schools with Students like Ours



Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local

board policy determines progress to the next grade level **NOTE**: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

| PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-------|------|------|------|------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Enrollment 1st | / | / % | 1 | / °` | / | % Proficient and | Performance Objective | Participation Objective |
| | sh/Langua | | | | | | 24.0 | V | V |
| All Students | 526 | 99.6 | 27.3 | 42.8 | 26.3 | 3.6 | 34.9 | Yes | Yes |
| Gender Male | 261 | 100.0 | 29.7 | 45.8 | 21.3 | 3.2 | 27.7 | | |
| Female | 265 | 99.3 | 24.9 | 39.8 | 31.3 | 4.0 | 42.2 | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | 200 | 99.3 | 24.9 | 39.0 | 31.3 | 4.0 | 42.2 | | |
| White | 248 | 99.6 | 16.8 | 42.4 | 35.3 | 5.5 | 45.4 | Yes | Yes |
| African-American | 267 | 99.6 | 36.5 | 42.4 | 18.9 | 2.0 | 25.7 | Yes | Yes |
| Asian/Pacific Islanders | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 11 | 100.0 | 45.5 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | 1411 | 1411 | | 1411 | 1411 | 1411 | | ., - |
| Not disabled | 421 | 99.5 | 25.8 | 43.4 | 27.8 | 3.0 | 41.4 | | |
| Disabled | 105 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 40.2 | 20.6 | 5.9 | 9.8 | No | Yes |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | |
| Non-migrant | 526 | 99.6 | 27.3 | 42.8 | 26.3 | 3.6 | 34.9 | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 524 | 99.6 | 27.0 | 42.9 | 26.4 | 3.6 | 35.1 | | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 342 | 99.4 | 33.9 | 43.9 | 20.4 | 1.9 | 26.6 | Yes | Yes |
| Full-pay meals | 181 | 100.0 | 15.6 | 40.8 | 36.9 | 6.7 | 49.7 | | |

| Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 15.5% | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|--|
| All Students | 526 | 99.4 | 21.9 | 54.3 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 38.8 | Yes | Yes | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 261 | 99.6 | 21.4 | 55.2 | 14.1 | 9.3 | 37.1 | | | |
| Female | 265 | 99.3 | 22.5 | 53.4 | 15.7 | 8.4 | 40.6 | | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 248 | 99.2 | 13.9 | 49.4 | 21.5 | 15.2 | 52.3 | Yes | Yes | |
| African-American | 267 | 99.6 | 29.3 | 58.6 | 8.8 | 3.2 | 26.1 | Yes | Yes | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S | |
| Hispanic | 11 | 100.0 | 27.3 | 63.6 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 36.4 | I/S | I/S | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S | |
| Disability Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Not disabled | 421 | 99.5 | 14.4 | 58.1 | 17.7 | 9.8 | 44.9 | | | |
| Disabled | 105 | 99.1 | 51.5 | 39.6 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 14.9 | Yes | Yes | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Non-migrant | 526 | 99.4 | 21.9 | 54.3 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 38.8 | | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 524 | 99.4 | 21.8 | 54.3 | 14.9 | 8.9 | 39.0 | | | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 342 | 99.4 | 26.3 | 58.0 | 10.7 | 5.0 | 29.2 | Yes | Yes | |
| Full-pay meals | 181 | 99.5 | 14.0 | 47.8 | 22.5 | 15.7 | 56.2 | | | |

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

| | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | |
|------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------|---------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| PACT PERFO | Enrollment 1st Day of Testing | % Tested | % Below Basic | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and Advanced |
| | | Englis | sh/Langua | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 86 | 98.8 | 25.3 | 42.7 | 30.7 | 1.3 | 32.0 |
| Grade 4 | 97 | 99.0 | 34.8 | 48.3 | 12.4 | 4.5 | 16.9 |
| Grade 5 | 96 | 100.0 | 33.3 | 52.7 | 14.0 | N/A | 14.0 |
| Grade 6 | 97 | 100.0 | 42.4 | 41.3 | 10.9 | 5.4 | 16.3 |
| Grade 7 | 82 | 98.8 | 25.3 | 51.9 | 22.8 | N/A | 22.8 |
| Grade 8 | 94 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 51.1 | 19.6 | 1.1 | 20.7 |
| Grade 3 | 86 | 98.8 | 18.8 | 38.8 | 38.8 | 3.5 | 42.4 |
| Grade 4 | 80 | 100.0 | 17.5 | 51.3 | 31.3 | N/A | 31.3 |
| Grade 5 | 101 | 99.0 | 33.0 | 50.0 | 15.0 | 2.0 | 17.0 |
| Grade 6 | 94 | 100.0 | 34.4 | 45.2 | 18.3 | 2.2 | 20.4 |
| Grade 7 | 87 | 100.0 | 40.2 | 43.7 | 13.8 | 2.3 | 16.1 |
| Grade 8 | 78 | 100.0 | 17.9 | 59.0 | 17.9 | 5.1 | 23.1 |
| | | | Mathemat | | | | |
| Grade 3 | 86 | 98.8 | 22.4 | 53.9 | 18.4 | 5.3 | 23.7 |
| Grade 4 | 97 | 100.0 | 20.2 | 52.8 | 19.1 | 7.9 | 27.0 |
| Grade 5 | 96 | 100.0 | 26.9 | 51.6 | 18.3 | 3.2 | 21.5 |
| Grade 6 | 97 | 100.0 | 28.3 | 46.7 | 15.2 | 9.8 | 25.0 |
| Grade 7 | 82 | 97.6 | 39.7 | 41.0 | 12.8 | 6.4 | 19.2 |
| Grade 8 | 94 | 100.0 | 33.7 | 48.9 | 14.1 | 3.3 | 17.4 |
| Grade 3 | 86 | 97.7 | 10.7 | 69.0 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 20.2 |
| Grade 4 | 80 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 61.3 | 15.0 | 3.8 | 18.8 |
| Grade 5 | 101 | 99.0 | 31.0 | 43.0 | 18.0 | 8.0 | 26.0 |
| Grade 6 | 94 | 100.0 | 25.8 | 47.3 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 26.9 |
| Grade 7 | 87 | 100.0 | 24.1 | 50.6 | 16.1 | 9.2 | 25.3 |
| Grade 8 | 78 | 100.0 | 24.4 | 61.5 | 7.7 | 6.4 | 14.1 |

| SCHOOL PROFILE | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | Our School | Change from Last Year | Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Elementary School |
| Students (n= 786) | | | LINC OUIS | |
| First graders who attended full-day kindergarten | 100.0% | N/C | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Retention rate | 4.7% | Up from 0.2% | 3.2% | 2.7% |
| Attendance rate Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade | 96.7% 12.4% | Up from 95.9% | 96.2% 5.1% | 96.4% 4.6% |
| level Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (Math) off grade level | 7.1% | | 3.7% | 3.5% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 11.7% | Down from 13.0% | 12.2% | 13.5% |
| On academic plans | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| On academic probation | N/AV | N/AV | N/A | N/AV |
| With disabilities other than speech | 13.1% | Up from 9.7% | 9.5% | 8.2% |
| Older than usual for grade | 5.0% | Down from 5.1% | 1.3% | 0.9% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 2.3% | Down from 3.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n= 48) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 47.9% | Down from 49.0% | 48.8% | 51.4% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 83.3% | Down from 90.2% | 87.5% | 87.5% |
| Highly qualified teachers** | 95.6% | N/A | 95.8% | 95.0% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 0.0% | | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 88.4% | Down from 90.0% | 86.2% | 86.7% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 94.5% | Up from 93.9% | 94.6% | 94.9% |
| Average teacher salary | \$38,661 | Up 3.8% | \$40,082 | \$40,760 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 9.4 days | Up from 8.0 days | 13.2 days | 12.4 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 2.0 | Up from 1.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 19.2 to 1 | Down from 20.1 to 1 | 18.9 to 1 | 18.9 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 90.5% | Up from 89.1% | 89.4% | 90.0% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | \$4,669 | Down 4.8% | \$5,817 | \$6,044 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 67.1% | Up from 66.5% | 66.0% | 65.9% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | No change | Good | Good |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.0% | Down from 99.4% | 99.0% | 99.0% |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No change | Yes | Yes |
| Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | Good | N/A Our District | Good | Good |
| Highly qualified to above in law | aabaala** | | | State |
| Highly qualified teachers in low poverty | | N/A | | 2.0% |
| Highly qualified teachers in high poverty | / schools** | N/A State Objective | | 1.1% |
| Highly qualified to above in this sales alt | * | State Objectiv | | te Objective |
| Highly qualified teachers in this school* | | 65.0% | | Yes |
| Student attendance in this school **NOTE: The verification process was not completed | | 95.3% | | Yes |

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

HPEM is a child-centered, community school dedicated to providing a warm, friendly, and challenging environment in which all students are offered the educational opportunities to become productive, responsible citizens. Our success is built on the belief that all children can learn if our educational family (students, parents, faculty, community members) works closely together.

School-wide teaming offers our students a nurturing learning environment, which is focused on making each student successful. Authentic learning such as hands-on math and inquiry-based science instruction is emphasized at HPEM. Accelerated classes including English I and Algebra I are offered on our campus. Enrichment and remediation periods are incorporated into the daily schedule to meet the needs of our students. New technology, Edutest and Achieve Now, was implemented to measure and supplement students' standards achievement. Beginning next year, as part of the three year technology grant our district received this year, a new technology coach will assist teachers in developing activities in which technology plays an even greater role in instruction.

Our faculty and students have made significant accomplishments this year. Mrs. Sherry Barnhill served as science coach and was named District Teacher of the Year. Mrs. Kim Tuten achieved the honor of National Board Certification. All teachers continued to grow professionally by taking courses and by attending conferences and workshops. All of our Junior Scholars this year placed in at least two areas. Two placed in all three areas. An eighth grader and a fifth grader won the Lt. Governor's Writing Award. A seventh grader placed third in the regional spelling bee. All students participated in our fine arts program, which included productions of School House Rock, our Broadway Musical; Celebrate You and Me, our spring extravaganza; and A Christmas Tale, a musical in which all 435 K-4 participated.

Parents, grandparents, family, and community members showed strong support for our school with high attendance and participation in school activities and events such as Grandparents' Day, Field Day, Month of the Young Adolescent Community Breakfast, Doughnuts for Mom and Dad, Arts festival, PACT Workshops, etc.

As always, we are proud of our school and the community it represents. We anxiously await the opportunities and experiences of another great year at HPEM.

Angela P. Keith, Principal

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 47 | 87 | 121 | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 97.8% | 83.9% | 89.9% | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 97.8% | 89.4% | 86.6% | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with home-school relations | 82.2% | 89.7% | 66.1% | | | | |
| *Only students at the highest elementary school grade level at this school and the | eir parents were ir | icluded. | | | | | |